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# **Chapter 1: Introduction**

**Technological innovation** is now the most important driver of competitive success in many industries.

* Many firms earn over one-third of sales on products developed within last five years.
* Product innovations help firms protect margins by offering new, differentiated features.
* Process innovations help make manufacturing more efficient.

**Advances in information technology** have enabled a faster innovation (CAD/CAM systems).

The importance of innovation and advances in information technology have led to:

* Shorter product lifecycles (more rapid product obsolescence).
* More rapid new product introductions.
* Greater market segmentation.

Innovation enables a wider range of goods and services to be delivered to people worldwide.

However, may result in negative externalities: for example, pollution, erosion, antibiotic-resistant bacteria.

[**Externalities**: “in economy environment is the set of effects, negative or positive, caused by a production or consumption activity of a subject”]

Successful innovation requires **strategies and implementation processes**. Most innovative ideas do not become successful new products; many projects do not result in technically feasible products and, of those that do, many fail to earn a commercial return.

The content of the course is divided into three parts:

1. **Part One: The foundations of technological Innovation**.

* C02: Sources of innovation.
* C03: Types and patterns of innovation.
* C04: Standards battles and design dominance.
* C05: Timing of Entry (non-attending students).

1. **Part Two: Formulating Technological Innovation Strategy**.

* C06: Defining the organization’s strategic direction.
* C07: Choosing innovation projects (non-attending students).
* C08: Collaboration strategies.
* C09: Protecting innovation.

1. **Part Three: Implementing Technological Innovation Strategy**.

* C10: Organizing for innovation (non-attending students).
* C11: Managing the new product development process.
* C12: Managing new product development teams.
* C13: Crafting a deployment strategy (non-attending students).

Immagine che contiene testo, schermata, diagramma, Parallelo

Descrizione generata automaticamente

# **Chapter 2: Sources of innovation**

Innovation can arise from many different sources, as:

* **individuals**, as users who design solutions for their own needs;
* **universities research**;
* **government-funded research**;
* **private** **nonprofit organizations**;
* the primary engine of innovation is **firms**. Firms have greater resources than individuals and they also face strong incentives to develop differentiating new products and services, which may give them and advantage over nonprofit or government-funded entities.
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**CREATIVITY**

Innovation begins with the generation of new useful ideas, what is called **creativity**. Novel work must be different from work that has been previously produced and surprising (not so intuitive).

An **individual’s creative ability** is a function of his/her *intellectual abilities, knowledge, personality, motivation,* and *environment*.

The creativity of the organization (**organizational creativity**) is a function of creativity of the individuals and a variety of social processes and contextual factors that shape how those individuals interact and behave.

The methods to encourage organizational creativity are:

* idea collection systems (e.g. Google’s idea management system);
* creativity training programs;
* culture that encourages.

**Innovation is the combination of a creative idea with resources and expertise able to transform the idea in a useful form**.

One 10-year study of **inventors** concludes that the most successful inventors possess the following characteristics:

1. They have mastered the basic tools and operations of the field in which they invent, but they have not specialized solely in that field.
2. They are curious and more interested in problems than solutions.
3. They question the assumptions made in previous work in the field.
4. They often have the sense that all knowledge is unified. They seek global solutions rather than local solutions.

Such individuals may develop many new devices or processes but commercialize few.

**INNOVATION BY USERS**

Innovation often originates with those who create solutions for their own needs.

Users have a deep understanding of their own needs, and motivation to fulfil them. While manufacturers typically create innovations to profit from their sales, user innovators often initially create innovations purely for their own use.

**RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT BY FIRMS (R&D)**

**Research** refers to both basic and applied research.

* *Basic* *research* aims at increasing understanding of a topic or field without an immediate commercial application in mind.
* *Applied* *research* aims at increasing understanding of a topic or field to meet a specific need.

**Development** refers to activities that apply knowledge to produce useful devices or processes.

There are two approaches for R&D by firms:

* *Science Push* approaches suggest that innovation proceeds linearly:

Scientific discovery → invention → manufacturing → Marketing.

* *Demand Pull* approaches argued that innovation originates from customer needs:

Customer suggestions → invention → Manufacturing.

Most current research argues that innovation is not so simple and may originate from a variety of sources and follow a variety of paths.

Firms often form **alliances** with customers, suppliers, universities, and even competitors to jointly work on an innovation project or to exchange information/resources. **External and internal sources** of information are complements. In fact, firms exploit external collaboration networks just to complete their in-house R&D research and not as a substitute of their work. Doing in-house R&D may help firms to build an ***absorptive capacity*** that enables them to better use the information obtained externally.

Many universities encourage research that leads to useful innovations. Revenues from universities inventions are still very small, but universities can also contribute to innovation through publication of research results.

Governments invest in research through their own laboratories; science parks and incubators; grants for other public or private research organizations.

Many nonprofit organizations do in-house R&D, fund R&D to others, or both.

**Collaborative research** is especially important in high-technology sectors where individual firms rarely possess all necessary resources and capabilities. As firms forge collaborative relationships, they shape a **larger network** that influences the diffusion of information and other resources. The size and structure of this network changes over time due to changes in alliance activity.

**TECHNOLOGY CLUSTERS**

Technology Clusters are regional clusters of firms that have a connection to a common technology (e.g. Silicon Valley’s); they may work with the same suppliers and customers.

🡺 **POSITIVE ASPECTS**:

* Proximity facilitates knowledge exchange.
* Cluster of firms can attract other firms to area.
* Supplier and distributor markets grow to service the cluster.
* Cluster of firms may make local labour pool more valuable by giving them experience.
* Cluster can lead to infrastructure improvements (for example, better roads, utilities, schools, etc.).

🡺 **NEGATIVE ASPECTS**:

* Increased competition.
* Knowledge leakage.
* Congestion.
* Pollution.

Agglomeration economies depend on the nature of the technology, industry characteristics and the cultural context of the technology.

**Technological spillovers** occur when the benefits from the research activities of one firm (or nation or other entity) spill over to other firms (or nations or other entities). Spillovers are thus a positive externality of R&D efforts. Evidence suggests that technology spillovers are a significant influence on innovative activities.

Whether R&D benefits spill over is partially a function of

* the **strength of** **protection mechanisms**, such as patents and copyrights;
* the nature of the **knowledge base** (e.g., tacit knowledge may not flow readily across firm boundaries);
* the **mobility of the labour pool**.

# **Chapter 3: Types and patterns of innovation**

Several dimensions are used to **categorize innovations**. These dimensions help clarify how different innovations offer different opportunities.

The path a technology follows through time is termed “***technology trajectory****”*. Technology trajectories help us to understand how technologies improve and are diffused.

**PRODUCT VERSUS PROCESS INNOVATION**

*Product innovations* refers to the outputs of an organization, its goods or services.

*Process innovations* are innovations in the way an organization conducts its business, such as in techniques of producing or marketing goods or services.

**Product innovations can enable process innovations and vice versa**.

What is a product innovation for one organization might be a process innovation for another.

* For example, UPS creates a new distribution service (product innovation) that enables its customers to distribute their goods more widely or more easily (process innovation).

**RADICAL VERSUS INCREMENTAL INNOVATION**

The *radicalness of an innovation* is the degree to which it is new and different from previously existing products and processes.

*Incremental innovations* may involve only a minor change from (or adjustment to) existing practices.

The radicalness of an innovation is relative; it may change over time based on different observers. Radical innovation creates less companies, less economy, than the incremental one; we can count more incremental innovations, made by small step on a previously existing technology, than the radical ones. Radical innovation is destructive: it destroys what was previous leading to a new dominant design.



**COMPETENCE-ENHANCING VERSUS COMPETENCE-DESTROYING INNOVATION**

*Competence-enhancing innovations* are built on the firm’s existing knowledge base.

* For example, Intel’s Pentium 4 built on the technology for Pentium III.

*Competence-destroying innovations* renders a firm’s existing knowledge base obsolete.

* For example, electronic calculators rendered Keuffel and Esser’s slide rule obsolete.

**ARCHITECTURAL VERSUS COMPONENT INNOVATION**

A *component innovation* (or modular innovation) entails changes to one or more components of a product system without significantly affecting the overall design.

An *architectural innovation* entails changing the overall design of the system or the way components interact. Most architectural innovations require changes in the underlying components also.

**SOCIAL INNOVATION**

There are also entirely new fields, like social innovation, which regards a wide range of activities addressing social problems, meeting social needs, and forming new collaborations according to the territory and the place they emerge (e.g., activism, crowdfunding).

**S-CURVES**

Both the rate of a technology’s improvement, and its rate of diffusion to the market typically follow an s-shaped curve.

**S-CURVES IN TECHNOLOGICAL IMPROVEMENT**

1. Technology improves slowly at first because it is poorly understood.

2. Then accelerates as understanding increases.

3. Then tapers off as approaches its limits.

Technologies do not always reach their limits. May be displaced by new, ***discontinuous technology***.

A discontinuous technology fulfills a similar market need and it is shaped by a new knowledge base (e.g, carbon copying to photocopying, vinyl to compact discs).

Technological discontinuity may initially have lower performances than incumbent technology (e.g., first automobiles were much slower than horse-drawn carriages).

**Firms may be reluctant to adopt new technology** because performance improvement is initially slow and costly, and they may have significant investment in incumbent technology.

**S-CURVES IN TECHNOLOGICAL DIFFUSION**

1. Adoption is initially slow because the technology is unfamiliar.

2. It accelerates as technology becomes better understood.

3. Eventually market is saturated and rate of new adoptions declines.

Technology diffusion tends to take far longer than information diffusion.

* Technology may require acquiring complex knowledge or experience.
* Technology may require complementary resources to make it valuable (for example, cameras not valuable without film).

**S-CURVES AS A PRESCRIPTIVE TOOL**

Mapping the technology’s s-curve is useful for gaining a deeper understanding of its rate of improvement or limits. Anyway, its use as a prescriptive tool is limited.

* True limits of technology may be unknown.
* Shape of s-curve can be influenced by changes in the market, component technologies, or complementary technologies.
* Firms that follow s-curve model too closely could end up switching technologies too soon or too late.

**TECHNOLOGY CYCLES**

Technological change tends to be cyclical. Each new s-curve ushers in an initial period of turbulence, followed by rapid improvement, then diminishing returns, and ultimately is displaced by a new technological discontinuity.

Utterback and Abernathy characterized the technology cycle into two phases:

* The *fluid phase* (when there is considerable uncertainty about the technology and its market; firms experiment with different product designs in this phase).
* After a **dominant design** emerges, the specific phase begins (when firms focus on incremental improvements to the design and manufacturing efficiency).

Anderson and Tushman also found that technological change proceeded cyclically. Each discontinuity inaugurates a period of turbulence and uncertainty until a dominant design is selected, ushering in an era of incremental change.

Anderson and Tushman found that:

* A dominant design always rose to command the majority of market share unless the next discontinuity arrived too early.
* The dominant design was never in the same form as the original discontinuity but was also not on the leading edge of technology. It bundled the features that would meet the needs of the majority of the market.

During the era of incremental change, firms often cease to invest in learning about alternative designs and instead focus on developing competencies related to the dominant design.

This explains in part why incumbent firms may have difficulty recognizing and reacting to a discontinuous technology.

# **Chapter 4: Standards battles and design dominance**

***Dominant design***: a product design that is adopted by the majority of producers, typically creating a stable architecture on which the industry can focus its efforts.

Many industries experience strong pressure to select a single (or few) dominant design(s).

There are multiple dimensions shaping which technology rises to the position of the dominant design. Firm strategies can influence several of these dimensions, improving the likelihood of their technologies rising to dominance.

**WHY DOMINANT DESIGNS ARE SELECTED**

One primary reason is that many industries exhibit **increasing returns to adoption**, meaning that the more a technology is adopted, the more valuable it becomes.

As a technology is used, producers learn how to make it more efficient and effective by accumulating **experience** and **sales revenues** that can be reinvested in further developments.

So, the technologies adopted earlier than others are likely to become better developed, making it difficult for other technologies to catch up.

Another reason is that technology with a **large installed base** attracts developers of complementary goods; a technology with a wide range of complementary goods attracts users, increasing the installed base 🡺 a **self-reinforcing cycle**.

**GOVERNMENT REGULATION**: sometimes the consumer welfare benefits of having a single dominant design and this prompts government organizations to intervene, imposing a standard (e.g., color standard in television).

**RESULT**: Winner-take-all markets (natural monopoly) and firms supporting winning technologies earn huge rewards; others may be locked out.

A dominant design can have far-reaching influence; it shapes future technological investigation in the area.

**MULTIPLE DIMENSIONS OF VALUE**

In many increasing returns industries, the value of a technology is strongly influenced by both:

* Technology’s Stand-alone Value.
* Network Externality Value.

**TECHNOLOGY’S STAND-ALONE VALUE**

A technology’s standalone value refers to the things it can do that are not due to its installed base or available complements. For example, a videogame console’s standalone value might be a function of its speed, storage capacity, ease of use, or economical price, etc.

To help managers identify the different aspects of utility a new technology offers customers, a “**Buyer Utility Map**” has been developed. This map considers six different utility levers, as well as six stages of the buyer experience cycle, to understand a new technology’s utility to a buyer.

The stages they identify are *purchase, delivery, use, supplements, maintenance*, and *disposal*. The six utility levels they consider are *customer productivity, simplicity, convenience, risk, fun and image*, and *environmental friendliness*.

**NETWORK EXTERNALITY VALUE**

In industries characterized by network externalities, the value of a technological innovation to users will be a function not only of its stand-alone value, but also of the value created by the size of its installed base and the availability of complementary goods.

NOTE: A new technology, that has significantly more standalone functionality, may offer less overall value than the incumbent technology because it has a smaller installed base or poor availability of complementary goods.

To successfully overtake an existing dominant technology, new technology should offer:

* **Dramatic technological improvement** (for example, in videogame consoles, it has taken 3X performance of incumbent).
* **Compatibility** with existing installed base and complements.

When users are comparing the value of a new technology to an existing technology, they are weighting a combination of objective information, subjective information, and expectations for the future. Objective dimension can matter as much as the subjective one.

**MODULARITY**

Modularity is used to create a **platform ecosystem** where many different firms contribute to the product overall system.

It allows generally for more customers choice, affecting the market by:

* a **quicker innovation** by allowing companies to update or introduce new modules independently. This agility in product development can lead to faster time to market, giving a competitive advantage;
* a **greater customization and trust from customers**, meeting different customer needs and preferences, offering a wide range of possible configurations;
* a **collaboration across industries** creating a synergy and preferring competitive positioning, being adaptable and responsive, while also expanding boundaries and market perception.

Internally, a firm can analyse modularity understanding how to:

* **design flexibility**, how easy it is to add and replace/upgrade modules;
* **interoperability** between organizations and people, ensuring smooth communication between different components;
* **cost structure and supply chain management**, evaluating gains in management, manufacturing, logistics and possibilities of reconfiguration and dynamics;
* **risk management**, assessing failures and successes impact and development of contingency plans overall, specifically dealing with customer satisfaction and market factors.

# **Chapter 5: Timing of Entry**

Increasing returns suggests that timing of entry can be very important.

There are several advantages and disadvantages to being a first mover, early follower or late entrant. These categories are defined as follows:

* **First movers** are the first entrants to sell a new product or service category (“pioneers”).
* **Early followers** are early to market but not first.
* **Late entrants** do not enter the market until the product begins to penetrate the mass market or later (e.g. Netflix: a revolution in the way customers consume entertainment).

There are several factors that influence how timing of entry affects firm survival and profits including:

* **market related factors** such as availability of complementary goods, development of enabling technologies, degree of customer certainty;
* **firm specific factors** such as capital resources, prior experience and reputation.

**FIRST MOVERS**

Being a first mover can confer the advantages of:

* **Brand loyalty and technological leadership**. Consumers may consider the first firm to enter to be the technological leader. This reputation can enhance a company’s ability to shape customer expectations (e.g. features, pricing, etc.) and can be sustained if the technology is difficult to imitate or is protected by patent or copyright.
* **Preemption of scarce assets** by the first mover can prevent later entrants from accessing key locations and important distribution channels, gaining government permits, and can make the development of relationship with suppliers more difficult.
* **Exploiting buyer switching costs** can enable first movers to keep their customers even if a later entrant offers a superior technology.
* A technology that is adopted early may rise to the dominance position exploiting self-reinforcing positive feedback mechanisms.

However, first movers often bear disadvantages also:

* **High R&D expenses**. Typically, the investments sustained by first movers are high than later entrants’ ones, which can exploit R&D efforts made by earlier entrants.
* **Undeveloped supply and distribution channels**.
* **Immature enabling technologies and complements**.
* **Uncertainty of customer requirements** can lead to great expense to learn what customers want.

**STRATEGIES TO IMPROVE TIMING OPTIONS**

To have more choices in its timing of entry, a firm needs to be able to develop the innovation early or quickly.

A firm with **fast-cycle development processes** can be both an early entrant and can quickly refine its innovation in response to customer feedback.

In essence, a firm with very fast-cycle development processes can reap both first-and second-mover advantages.

# **Chapter 6: Defining the Organization's Strategic Direction**

A coherent technological innovation strategy leverages the firm’s existing competitive position and provides direction for future development of the firm.

Formulating a company’s technological innovation strategy requires the firm to **assess its current position** and define its **strategic direction**.

**ASSESSING THE FIRM’S CURRENT POSITION**

To assess the firm’s current position, it is helpful to analyse the **external** and **internal** environment of the firm.

**EXTERNAL ANALYSIS**

External analysis is frequently conducted by applying **Porter’s Five-Force Model** and/or a **stakeholder analysis**.

**Porter’s Five-Force Model**:

1. **Degree of existing rivalry**. Determined by number of firms, relative size, degree of differentiation between firms, demand conditions, exit barriers.
2. **Threat of potential entrants**. Determined by attractiveness of industry, height of entry barriers (for example, start-up costs, brand loyalty, regulation, etc.).
3. **Bargaining power of suppliers**. Determined by number of suppliers and their degree of differentiation, the portion of a firm’s inputs obtained from a particular supplier.
4. **Bargaining power of buyers**. Determined by number of buyers, the firm’s degree of differentiation, the portion of a firm’s outputs sold to a particular buyer.
5. **Threat of substitutes**. Determined by number of potential substitutes, their closeness in function and relative price.

Recently Porter has acknowledged the **role of complements**. Must consider:

1. how important complements are in the industry;
2. whether complements are differentially available for the products of various rivals (impacting the attractiveness of their goods);
3. who captures the value offered by the complements.

**Stakeholder analysis** begins with the identification of all parties impacted by the firm, what their interests are and what resources they contribute to the firm.

Stakeholders include stockholders, employees, customers, suppliers, lenders, the local community, government, and rivals.

**INTERNAL ANALYSIS**

The internal analysis is conducted by two steps:

1. **The assessment of a firm’s strengths and weaknesses** in each part of the company’s value chain. The value chain activities are often organized according whether they are primary (e.g. marketing, sales and service) or secondary (e.g. human resource management and infrastructure) activities.
2. The firm then identifies **which strengths** have the potential to be a source of **sustainable** **competitive advantage** (i.e. are rare, valuable, durable, and inimitable).

It is most important to emphasize what makes a competency a **core competency**.

Core competencies **differentiate a company strategically from its competitors** and are usually a combination of different kinds of abilities (e.g. advertising, distribution, process design). It is the harmonious combination of abilities that makes core competencies **difficult to imitate**.

**DEFINE THE FIRM’S STRATEGIC DIRECTION**

A firm’s strategic intent is an **ambitious long-term term goal** (10 to 20 years in the future) that requires all levels of the organization to improve the firm's existing core competencies to close the gap between strategic intent and current position.

A firm’s strategic intent takes the focus away from current markets and meeting current customer requirements so that **the organization can focus on future markets and customer requirements**.

# **Chapter 7: Choosing innovation projects**

Firms use a mix of **quantitative** and **qualitative** methods when selecting and managing innovation projects and each of these methods has its own strengths and weaknesses.

**QUANTITATIVE METHODS FOR CHOOSING PROJECTS**

1) **Screening Questions** are organized into categories and are used by managers to structure technology project investment discussions. Sample screening questions include:

* Role of customer (market, compatibility and ease of use, distribution and pricing);
* Role of capabilities (existing capabilities, competitors’ capabilities, future capabilities);
* Project Timing and Cost.

2) The **Aggregate Project Planning Framework** focuses a firm’s attention on the mix of development projects in its R&D portfolio in order to determine whether the projects are consistent with the company’s resources and strategic intent.

The framework also enables firms to determine whether its portfolio is balanced between projects with short and long-term payoff horizons.

To create the map, **managers categorize their existing projects** according to the resources required and the parts of the company’s product line they support.

Four types of development projects appear in the map:

1. **Advanced R&D projects** develop cutting-edge technologies, often no immediate commercial application.
2. **Breakthrough projects** incorporate revolutionary new product and process design technologies into a new product -- that is they are oriented around a specific commercial application.
3. **Platform projects** are not revolutionary but offer fundamental improvements over preceding generations of products.
4. **Derivative projects** involve incremental changes in products and/or processes.

**Derivative projects pay off the quickest** and help service the firm’s short-term cash flow needs. **Advanced R&D projects take a long time to pay off** (or may not pay off at all) but can position the firm to be a technological leader.

**QUALITATIVE METHODS FOR CHOOSING PROJECTS**

**Q-Sort** is a simple method for ranking ideas on different dimensions. Individuals are given a stack of cards (each card is a development project) they put in order according to their assessment of how well each project performs on the criteria presented (e.g. technical feasibility, market impact, fit with strategic intent). These rankings are then used to structure a debate about the projects.

**COMBINING QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE METHODS**

Managers may use multiple methods in combination. May also use methods that convert qualitative information into quantitative form.

**Conjoint Analysis** estimates the relative value individuals place on attributes of a choice.

* Individuals given a card with products (or projects) with different features and prices.
* Individuals rate each in terms of desirability or rank them.
* Multiple regression then used to assess the degree to which an attribute influences rating. These weights quantify the trade-offs involved in providing different features.

**Data Envelopment Analysis** (DEA) uses linear programming to combine measures of projects based on different units into a hypothetical efficiency frontier.

* Projects can be ranked by assessing their distance from efficiency frontier.
* As with other quantitative methods, DEA results only as good as the data utilized; managers must be careful in their choice of measures and their accuracy.

# **Chapter 8: Collaboration Strategies**

Firms must often choose between performing innovation activities: **alone** or in **collaboration**.

Collaboration can enable firms to achieve more, at a faster rate, and at less cost and risk. However, collaboration also entails sharing control and rewards, and may risk partner malfeasance.

**Reasons for Going Solo**:

* firm possesses all the capabilities and resources in house that it needs;
* the development of the new technology is an opportunity to develop new competencies;
* the risk of transferring knowledge to a partner is too great;
* the firm wants to control the trajectory of the technology’s development;
* if an appropriate partner is not available.

Solo internal development is relatively **slow and expensive**, it is appropriate when a firm has strong competencies related to the new technology, access to capital, and is not under great time pressure.

**Advantages of collaborating**:

* *Acquiring Capabilities and Resources Quickly* to gain rapid access to complementary and useful capabilities from partners.
* *Increasingly Flexibility*.
* *Learning from Partners*: transfer of knowledge from partners and creation of new knowledge that individual firms could not have created alone.
* *Resource and Risk Pooling*: sharing costs and risks of a project.
* *Building a Coalition around a Shared Standard*: development of a project with a collaboration that facilitates the creation of a shared standard.

**TYPES OF COLLABORATIVE ARRANGEMENTS**

1. **Joint Ventures**: a particular type of strategic alliance that entails significant equity investment and often establishes a new separate legal entity.
2. **Licensing**: a contractual arrangement that gives an organization (or individual) the rights to use another’s intellectual property, typically in exchange for royalties.
3. **Outsourcing**: when an organization (or individual) procures services or products from another rather than producing them in house.
4. **Collective Research Organizations**: organizations formed to facilitate collaboration among a group of firms (e.g. involving universities).
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**CHOOSING AND MONITORING PARTNERS**

Partner selection is crucial to success. Key factors fall into two dimensions:

1. **resource fit** (e.g. partner’s relative size and strength, resources);
2. **strategic fit** (e.g. alignment of objectives and similarity of values and culture).

Successful collaborations require clear yet flexible monitoring and governance mechanisms.

* May utilize legally binding **contractual arrangements**.
* helps ensure partners are aware of rights and obligations;
* provides legal remedies for violations.
* May also use **shared equity ownership** (that is, each partner contributes capital and owns a share of equity in the alliance).
* May rely on **relational governance** (self-enforcing governance based on the goodwill, trust, and reputation of partners).

# **Chapter 9: Protecting Innovation**

Firms must decide whether and how to protect their technological innovations.

Protecting innovation helps a firm retain control over it and appropriate the rents from it.

However, sometimes not protecting a technology is to the firm’s advantage – it may encourage others to support the technology and increase its likelihood of becoming dominant.

**Appropriability** refers to the degree to which a firm is able to capture the rents from its innovation. Appropriability is determined by how easily or quickly competitors can copy the innovation.

**HOW CAN FIRMS PROTECT THEIR INNOVATION**

There may exist some protective measures over innovation:

* **Patents**: given by governments, providing exclusive rights for a specified duration, preventing others from making, using, or selling the patented invention. They are often regulated by patent laws, which vary from country to country (famous ones include PCT or Paris Convention). They can create power between similar companies (patent thickets) or are just made to earn revenues (patent trolling).
* **Trademarks**: a word, phrase, symbol, design, or other indicator that is used to distinguish the source of goods.
* **Copyright**: preventing from reproducing the work or creating some derivative ones. Registering copyrights provides legal rights to control the reproduction and distribution of the protected work.
* **Trade secrets**: basically, industrial secrets. This involves maintaining confidentiality around specific processes, formulas, or methods that provide a competitive advantage.

**FACTORS TO CONSIDER ABOUT A PROTECTION STRATEGY**

* The **degree of protection needed**, for example via the usage of patents, trademarks, copyright, trade secrets.
* The **market and industry dynamics**, understanding how rapid the evolution can be and the possible challenges that need to be tackled to achieve correct protection.
* The **value of intellectual property and IP**, ensuring protection over expansion in different areas, may them be via specific expansion plans and the overall competitive landscape present, staying abreast of regulatory environments and jurisdictions.
* **Correctly assessing the cost benefits and the risks**, which need careful employee training and careful selection in collaboration and partnership.
* Implement **specific systems of monitoring** **and surveillance** to detect possible infringements and unauthorised use.

# **Chapter 10: Organizing for innovation**

**Organizational structure** and its use of rules, standardized procedures and controls can impact an organization's ability to generate innovation.

***Small, flexible*** structures are typically best suited to idea generation and structures with ***well-developed procedures and standards*** may entail better investment decisions and more efficient implementation.

**SIZE AND STRUCTURAL DIMENSIONS OF THE FIRM**

Small firms are often more flexible and entrepreneurial because they do not have the burden of a large bureaucracy or large investments in fixed assets.

These firms often have shorter development cycles and a greater focus because they have much more limited resources than larger firms.

The firm’s ability to be an effective innovator is influenced by the degree to which its structure is:

1. **Formalized**: the degree to which the firm utilizes rules and procedures to structure the behaviour of employees. Can substitute for managerial oversight but can also make firm rigid.
2. **Standardized**: the degree to which activities are performed in a uniform manner. Facilitates smooth and reliable outcomes but can decreases innovation.
3. **Centralized**: the degree to which decisional authority is kept at top levels of the firm **OR** the degree to which activities are performed at a central location.

**MECHANISTIC VS ORGANIC STRUCTURE**

**Mechanistic structures** have high formalization and standardization.

* Good for operational efficiency, reliability.
* Minimizes variation 🡪 may stifle creativity.

**Organic structures** have low formalization and standardization.

* Encourages creativity and experimentation.
* May yield low consistency and reliability in manufacturing.

**SIZE VS STRUCTURE**

Large firms often make greater use of formalization and standardization because as the firm grows it becomes more difficult to exercise direct managerial oversight. Formalization and standardization reduce coordination costs but lead to a more mechanistic structure.

Many large firms attempt to overcome some of this rigidity by decentralizing authority, enabling divisions of the firm to behave more like small companies.

There are several methods by which firms can achieve some of the advantages of large size, and the efficiency and speed of implementation afforded by mechanistic structures, while simultaneously harnessing the creativity and entrepreneurial spirit of small firms and organic structures.

An **ambidextrous organization** is a firm with a complex organizational form that can collectively achieve both short-term efficiency and long-term innovation. Such firms might utilize mechanistic structures in some portions of the firm and organic structures in others.

R&D is typically a distinct division (organic structure) from the rest of the firm which is characterized by a mechanistic structure.

Skunk works that suggests that R&D teams may need to be isolated from the rest of the organization in order to explore new alternatives.

Example: Steve jobs with the small team working at the project Macintosh.

**MODULARITY AND LOOSELY COUPLED ORGANIZATIONS**

Firms can try to find a balance between efficiency and flexibility through **modular products** and **loosely coupled structure**.

Modularity becomes more valuable when there is a combination of heterogeneous inputs (e.g., diverse components that could be combined, or technologies that could be used) and heterogeneous demands (e.g., when there is great variety in what consumers want).

With this combination, the ability to “mix and match” components to achieve a wide range of configurations enables the firm or industry to meet customer needs more closely.

**Modularity can also be applied to organizational structures**. In a loosely coupled organization, activities are not tightly integrated; they achieve coordination through adherence to shared objectives and standards.

Less need for integration enables firms to pursue more flexible configurations; may specialize in a few activities and outsource others 🡪 the result is a network of loosely connected firms.

May not be good when very close coordination is needed, or when there is high potential for conflict.

**MANAGING INNOVATION ACROSS BORDERS**

Bartlett and Ghoshal identify four strategies of multinational innovation:

* **Center-for-global**: all R&D activities centralized a single hub.
  + Tight coordination, economies of scale, avoids redundancy, develops core competencies, standardizes, and implements innovations throughout firm.
* **Local-for-local**: each division does own R&D for local market.
  + Accesses diverse resources, customizes products for local needs.
* **Locally leveraged**: each division does own R&D, but firm attempts to leverage most creative ideas across company.
  + Accesses diverse resources, customizes products for local needs, improve diffusion of innovation throughout firm and markets.
* **Globally linked**: decentralized R&D labs but each plays a different role in firm’s strategy and are coordinated centrally.
  + Accesses diverse resources, improve diffusion of innovation throughout firm and markets, may help develop core competencies.

Bartlett and Ghoshal encourage **transnational approach**: resources and skills anywhere in firm can be leveraged to exploit opportunities in any geographic market. Requires:

1. Reciprocal interdependence among divisions.
2. Strong integrating mechanisms such as personnel rotation, division-spanning teams, etc.
3. Balance in organizational identity between national brands and global image.

# **Chapter 11: Managing new product development process**

Despite the intense attention paid to innovation, **failure rates are still very high**. More than 95% of new product development projects fail to earn an economic return.

This chapter underscores the importance of identifying **the most effective processes for managing new product development** (NPD).

**SEQUENTIAL VS PARALLEL MODEL**

Before the mid-1990s, most U.S. companies proceeded from one development stage to another following a **sequential approach**. The process included several gates at which managers would decide whether to proceed to the next stage, send the project back to a previous stage for revision, or kill the project.

Typically, R&D and marketing provided most of the inputs in the **opportunity identification** and **concept development** stages, R&D took the lead in **product design**, and manufacturing took the lead in **process design**. The final stage is **commercial production**.

According to critics, one problem with such a system emerges at the **product design** stage when R&D engineers fail to communicate directly with manufacturing engineers.

A sequential process has no early warning system to indicate that planned features are not manufacturable. Consequently, cycle time can increase as the project iterates back and forth between the product design and process designstages.

To shorten the development process and avoid time-consuming and costly iterations between stages of the development cycle, many firms have adopted a partly **parallel development process**.

Product design is initiated before concept development is complete, and process design starts long before product design is finalized, enabling **much closer coordination between different stages**, and minimizing the chance that R&D will design products that are difficult or costly to manufacture.
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**PROJECT CHAMPIONS**

Assigning a **senior executive** to champion a new product development project can shorten cycle time and ensure that the product attributes match customer requirements.

Risks of Championing include the **loss of objectivity** by the project champion that can result in an inability to admit when a project has no future and if the champion occupies a senior level position in the organization others may be reluctant to express their true thoughts regarding the value of the project.

To counteract these risks, firms may create the role of “anti-champion” to play devil’s advocate.

**INVOLVING CUSTOMERS AND SUPPLIERS**

Involving **customers** and **suppliers** in the development process may ensure that products fulfil customer performance/price requirements and help control costs while speeding up development.

Involving **customers** often involves beta testing early version of a product by customers to get early feedback. Customer is often best able to identify the maximum performance capabilities and minimum service requirements of new product.

**Suppliers** can suggest alternative inputs that reduce cost or improve functionality.

🡺 Evidence shows firms that involve suppliers produce **new products in less time, at lower cost and with higher quality**.

**TOOLS FOR IMPROVING THE NPD PROCESS**

**1) STAGE-GATE PROCESS**

The Stage-Gate Process applies a tough **multi-functional review at the end of each stage** of the design process to ensure that only those projects demonstrating increasing certainty regarding success move forward.

Prior to moving to the next stage, the project must pass a **Go/Kill** decision point, helpful to filter out bad projects. This is important since risks and costs increase as a project proceeds.

**2) HOUSE OF QUALITY**

The House of Quality was developed in Japan as a comprehensive process for improving communication and coordination between engineering, marketing and manufacturing personnel.

The house of quality maps customer requirements and product attributes and provides a common language and framework, through which teams can **understand the relationship between product attributes and customer requirements**, identify design trade-offs, highlight the competitive shortcomings of existing products, and identify the steps to improve them.

**3) DESIGN FOR MANUFACTURING**

Design for Manufacturing often involves **a set of design rules that reduce cost and development time**, while boosting quality.
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**4) FAILURE MODES AND EFFECTS ANALYSIS (FMEA)**

FMEA is a method by which firms identify potential failures in a system, classify them according to their severity, and create a plan to prevent them.

* Potential failure modes are evaluated on three criteria of risk: severity, likelihood, and inability of controls to detect the failure.
* Each criterion is given a score (1-lowest, 5-highest).
* Composite score is used to prioritize development efforts.

**5) CAD/CAM**

**Computer-Aided Design** (CAD) is the use of computers to build and test designs.

* Enables rapid and inexpensive prototyping.

**Computer-Aided Manufacturing** (CAM) is the use of machine-controlled processes in manufacturing.

* Increases flexibility by enabling faster changes in production set ups. More product variations can be offered at a reasonable cost.
* Three-dimensional printing is where a design is printed by laying down thin horizontal strips of material until the model is complete.

# **Chapter 12: Managing new product development teams**

Many organizations now use **cross functional teams** to lead and manage the NPD process. There is considerable variation in how these teams are formed and managed.

The chapter will look at size, composition, structure, administration, and leadership of teams.

**CONSTRUCTING NPD TEAMS**

The **team size** may range from a few members to hundreds. Bigger is not always better; large teams create more administrative costs and communication problems. Large teams have also higher potential for social loafing.

Including in the **team composition** members from multiple functions of firm ensures greater coordination between different functional areas of the firm. It also gives the opportunity to leverage a wider knowledge base. However, cross functional teams increase both coordination and communication costs with a difficulty in building a cohesive team.

**STRUCTURE OF NPD TEAMS**

One well known typology of team structure classifies teams into four types:

1. **FUNCTIONAL**

* Members report to functional manager.
* Temporary, and members may spend less than 10% of their time on project.
* Typically, no project manager or dedicated liaison personnel.
* Little opportunity for cross functional integration.
* Likely to be appropriate for derivative projects.

1. **LIGHTWEIGHT**

* Members still report to functional manager.
* Temporary, and members may spend less than 25% of their time on project.
* Typically have project manager and dedicated liaison personnel.
* Manager is typically junior or middle management.
* Likely to be appropriate for derivative projects.

1. **HEAVYWEIGHT**

* Members are collocated with project manager.
* Manager is typically senior and has significant authority to command resources and evaluate members.
* Often still temporary, but core team members often dedicated full time to project.
* Likely to be appropriate for platform projects.

1. **AUTONOMOUS**

* Members collocated and dedicated full time to team.
* Project manager is typically very senior manager.
* Project manager is given full control over resources and has exclusive authority over evaluation and reward of members.
* Autonomous teams may have own policies, procedures and reward systems that may be different from rest of firm.
* Likely to be appropriate for breakthrough and major platform projects.
* Can be difficult to fold back into the organization.

**MANAGEMENT AND LEADERSHIP OF NPD TEAMS**

Team effectiveness is a function of how well-suited leadership and administrative policies are to team’s structure and needs.

**TEAM LEADERSHIP**: Team leader is responsible for directing team’s activities, maintaining alignment with project goals, and communicating with senior management. Team leaders impact team performance more directly than senior management or champions.

**TEAM ADMINISTRATION**: It should be designed to ensure that team members have a clear focus and commitment to project (e.g. project charter, contract book, etc.).

**Project charter** encapsulates the project’s mission and provides measurable goals.

**Contract book** defines in detail the basic plan to achieve goals laid out in charter. It provides a tool for monitoring and evaluating the team’s performance.

**MANAGING VIRTUAL TEAMS**: In these teams, members may be a great distance from each other, but are still able to collaborate intensely via videoconferencing, groupware, email, and internet chat programs.

* Enables people with special skills to be combined without disruption to their personal lives.
* However, may be losses of communication due to lack of proximity and direct, frequent contact.
* Requires members who are comfortable with technology, have strong interpersonal skills and work ethic, and can work independently.

# **Chapter 13: Crafting a deployment strategy**

Deployment is a **key component** of the innovation process because a new product has little value in and of itself. It is only when people understand the innovation, can access it, and utilize it regularly that the product is of value.

The best deployment strategies **accelerate adoption by reducing uncertainty** about the product. Some of the key elements of an effective deployment strategy include **timing**, **licensing** **and compatibility**, **pricing**, **distribution**, and **marketing**.

**TIMING**

Strategic Launch Timing is a function of many factors including **seasonal or business cycles** and the **availability of complementary goods**.

Video game producers typically introduce new game consoles to coincide with the Christmas shopping season, but it is essential that complementary goods (to help create demand) and sufficient production (to meet demand) are available when the product is introduced.

For products characterized by **rapid technological change**, new product introductions should not follow so closely after the previous generation that consumers are reluctant to replace it.

**OPTIMIZING CASH FLOW VS EMBRACING CANNIBALIZATION**

**Cannibalization**: when a firm’s sales of one product (or at one location) diminish its sales of another (or another location).

There are instances when it is in the firm’s best interest to introduce new generations of technology while the current generation is still viable. Though this strategy will result in the new generation cannibalizing sales of the previous generation, it is more likely to keep consumers loyal to the product and prevent them from switching to another manufacturer.

🡺 Traditionally firms managed product lifecycles to optimize cash flow and return on investment, they would not introduce new generation while old generation selling well.

🡺 Often better for firm to invest in continuous innovation and willing cannibalize its own products to make it difficult for competitors to gain a technological lead.

**LICENSING AND COMPATIBILITY**

By making the new product compatible with existing products, the firm can take **advantage of a large installed base**.

A firm with a large installed base for its own goods may choose to make its products incompatible with other technologies in order to prevent competitors from leveraging the installed base to create demand for competing products.

**PRICING STRATEGIES**

Pricing strategies influence a product’s position in the market, the rate of adoption and the firm’s cash flow.

A range of goals and corresponding pricing strategies are listed below:

* **Survival pricing** covers variable costs and some fixed costs and may be used in short run when there is over capacity or intense price competition.
* **Maximize current profit pricing** establishes the price to maximize cash flow or rate of return on investment in the short run and is based on cost and demand estimates.
* **Maximum market skimming pricing** usually begins with a high introductory price to signal high value and recover initial development costs. This approach assumes that demand is unrelated to price and may attract competitors to market.
* **Maximum market share** or **penetration pricing** sets the price as low as possible to attract customers in order to increase volume and decrease production costs. When an industry is characterized by increasing returns this can be a successful strategy because it can provide the firm with a powerful foothold as low cost provider.
* Some firms use a “**freemium**” strategy whereby the core product is free or at a very low cost, but customers end up paying for add on features or services.
* **Pricing below cost** can be an effective strategy when a firm expects to generate profits from the sale of complementary goods.
* Timing strategies enable a firm to **manipulate customer perceptions of price** by changing how or when the purchase price is paid.

Options include payment after a free trial period, leasing programs, or a give-away of the initial product with profits earned from follow-on services. In addition, introductory pricing allows company to test price points in the market.

**DISTRIBUTION**

**Selling Direct** versus **Using Intermediaries** is a function of the degree of control the company wants to maintain.

Selling direct:

* Gives firm great control over selling process, price and service.
* Can be expensive and/or impractical.

Intermediaries may include:

* Manufacturers’ representatives: independent agents that may promote and sell the product lines of one or a few manufacturers.
* Wholesalers: firms that buy manufacturer’s products in bulk then resell them (typically in smaller, more diverse bundles).
* Retailers: firms that sell goods to public.
* Original equipment manufacturers (OEMs):
  + A company that buys products (or components) from other manufacturers and assembles them or customizes them and sells under its own brand name. For example, Dell Computer.

Possible strategies for accelerating distribution are:

* **Alliances with distributors**. Providing distributor with stake in product’s success or exclusivity contract can motivate them to promote more.
* **Bundling relationships**. Sell in tandem with product already in wide use.
* **Contracts and sponsorship**. Provide price discounts, special service contracts or advertising assistance to distributors, complementary goods providers or large and influential end users.
* **Guarantees and consignment**. Reduces risk to intermediaries and complements providers.

**MARKETING**

Marketing strategy must consider the nature of target market and the innovation in order to shape perceptions and expectations about the product’s installed base and availability of complementary goods.

Major marketing methods include:

* **Advertising**: used to build customer awareness of a technological innovation through an effective advertising message placed in the advertising media most likely to reach the target market.
* **Promotions**:temporary selling tactics used at the customer or distributor level to stimulate purchase or trial.
* **Publicity and Public relations**: can be used to generate word-of-mouth recommendations, public awareness, and goodwill.